

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

CHARLESTON DIVISION

IN RE: C.R. BARD, INC.
PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2187

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO

Monica Stansberry v. C.R. Bard, Inc.

2:17-cv-00968

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On July 10, 2019, I granted a motion to show cause filed by C. R. Bard, Inc. (“Bard”). [ECF No. 11]. Bard asserted in the motion that plaintiff’s case should be dismissed with prejudice for failure to comply with court orders, including the failure to timely provide the defendant with required pretrial discovery in violation of Pretrial Order Numbers 275 and 283. Plaintiff, who is *pro se*, has not responded to the above motion.

The court entered the Show Cause Order on July 10, 2019, directing plaintiff to show cause on or before July 24, 2019, why Bard should not be dismissed. [ECF No. 11]. The court sent a copy of this order to plaintiff at her last known address and posted it on the court’s public website. Plaintiff did not show cause or otherwise respond.


The court finds, pursuant to Rules 16 and 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and after weighing the factors identified in *Wilson v. Volkswagen of Am., Inc.*, 561 F.2d 494, 503-06 (4th Cir. 1977), that this case should be dismissed without prejudice for plaintiff’s failure to respond to the show cause order and otherwise

comply with discovery deadlines in compliance with the court's previous pretrial and other orders.

Therefore, the court **ORDERS** that Bard is dismissed without prejudice. No other defendants remain and this case is stricken from the docket and closed.

The court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a copy of this order to counsel of record and plaintiff at her last known address.

ENTER: August 8, 2019


JOSEPH R. GOODWIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE